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## 4 Poisson Processes

### 4.1 Definition

Consider a series of events occurring over time, i.e.


Define $T_{i}$ as the time between the $(i-1)^{s t}$ and $i^{t h}$ event. Then

$$
S_{n}=T_{1}+T_{2}+\ldots+T_{n}=\text { Time to } n^{\text {th }} \text { event. }
$$

Define $N(t)=$ no. of events in $(0, t]$.
Then

$$
P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=P\{N(t)<n\}
$$

If the time for the $n^{t h}$ event exceeds $t$, then the number of events in $(0, t]$ must be less than $n$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=P\{N(t)<n\} \\
p_{t}(n)=P\{N(t)=n\} \quad=P\{N(t)<n+1\}-P\{N(t)<n\} \\
=P\left\{S_{n+1}>t\right\}-P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\} \\
\text { where } \quad S_{n}=T_{1}+T_{2}+\ldots+T_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define $Q_{n+1}(t)=P\left\{S_{n+1}>t\right\}, Q_{n}(t)=P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}$
Then we can write

$$
p_{t}(n)=Q_{n+1}(t)-Q_{n}(t)
$$

and taking LaPlace transforms

$$
p_{s}^{*}(n)=Q_{n+1}^{*}(s)-Q_{n}^{*}(s)
$$

If $q_{n+1}(t)$ and $q_{n}(t)$ are respective pdf's.

$$
Q_{n+1}^{*}(s)=\frac{1-q_{n+1}^{*}(s)}{s}, Q_{n}^{*}(s)=\frac{1-q_{n}^{*}(s)}{s}
$$

and

$$
p_{s}^{*}(n)=\frac{1-q_{n+1}^{*}(s)}{s}-\frac{1-q_{n}^{*}(s)}{s}=\frac{q_{n}^{*}(s)-q_{n+1}^{*}(s)}{s}
$$

Recall $T_{1}$ is time between 0 and first event, $T_{2}$ is time between first and second event, etc.

Assume $\left\{T_{i}\right\} i=1,2, \ldots$ are independent and with the exception of $i=1$, are identically distributed with pdf $q(t)$. Also assume $T_{1}$ has pdf $q_{1}(t)$. Then

$$
q_{n+1}^{*}(s)=q_{1}^{*}(s)\left[q^{*}(s)\right]^{n}, q_{n}^{*}(s)=q_{1}^{*}(s)\left[q^{*}(s)\right]^{n-1}
$$

and

$$
p_{s}^{*}(n)=\frac{q_{n}^{*}(s)-q_{n+1}^{*}(s)}{s}=q_{1}^{*}(s) q^{*}(s)^{n-1}\left[\frac{1-q^{*}(s)}{s}\right]
$$

Note that $q_{1}(t)$ is a forward recurrence time. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{1}(t)=\frac{Q(t)}{m} \text { and } q_{1}^{*}(s)=\frac{1-q^{*}(s)}{s m} \\
p_{s}^{*}(n)=\frac{q^{*}(s)^{n-1}}{m}\left[\frac{1-q^{*}(s)}{s}\right]^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume $q(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t} \quad$ for $t>0 \quad(m=1 / \lambda)$

$$
=0 \quad \text { otherwise }
$$

Then $\quad q^{*}(s)=\lambda / \lambda+s, \quad \frac{1-q^{*}(s)}{s}=1 /(\lambda+s)$
and $\quad p_{s}^{*}(n)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda+s}\right)^{2} \lambda=\frac{1}{\lambda}(\lambda / \lambda+s)^{n+1}$.

$$
p_{s}^{*}(n)=\frac{1}{\lambda}(\lambda / \lambda+s)^{n+1}
$$

However $(\lambda / \lambda+s)^{n+1}$ is the LaPlace transform of a gamma distribution with parameters $(\lambda, n+1)$ i.e.

$$
f(t)=\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n+1-1} \lambda}{\Gamma(n+1)} \text { for } t>0
$$

$$
\therefore p_{t}(n)=\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{p_{s}^{*}(n)\right\}=\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!}
$$

which is the Poisson Distribution. Hence $N(t)$ follows a Poisson distribution and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\{N(t)<n\}=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} p_{t}(r)=P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\} \\
P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{r}}{r!}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have shown that if the times between events are iid following an exponential distribution the $N(t)$ is Poisson with $E[N(t)]=\lambda t$.

Alternatively if $N(t)$ follows a Poisson distribution, then $S_{n}$ has a gamma distribution with pdf $f(t)=\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n-1} \lambda}{\Gamma(n)}$ for $t>0$.

This implies time between events are exponential.
Since $P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=P\{N(t)<n\}$ we have proved the identity

$$
P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n-1} \lambda}{\Gamma(n)} \lambda d x=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{r}}{r!}
$$

This identity is usually proved by using integration by parts.
When $N(t)$ follows a Poisson distribution with $E[N(t)]=\lambda t$, the set $\{N(t), t>0\}$ is called a Poisson Process.

### 4.2 Derivation of Exponential Distribution

Define $\quad P_{n}(h)=$ Prob. of $n$ events in a time interval $h$
Assume
$P_{0}(h)=1-\lambda h+o(h) ; \quad P_{1}(h)=\lambda h+o(h) ; \quad P_{n}(h)=o(h)$ for $n>1$
where $o(h)$ means a term $\psi(h)$ so that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(h)}{h}=0$. Consider a finite time interval $(0, t)$. Divide the interval into $n$ sub-intervals of length $h$. Then $t=n h$.


The probability of no events in $(0, t)$ is equivalent to no events in each sub-interval; i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{n}\{T>t\}=P\{\text { no events in }(0, t)\} \\
T=\text { Time for } 1^{\text {st }} \text { event }
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose the probability of events in any sub interval are independent of each other. (Assumption of independent increments.) Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{n}\{T>t\}=[1-\lambda h+o(h)]^{n}=\left[1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}+o(h)\right]^{n} \\
=\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n}+n o(h)\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n-1}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n}=e^{-\lambda t}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n o(h)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{h} o(h)=0
$$

We have $P\{T>t\}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} P_{n}\{T>t\}=e^{-\lambda t}$.
$\therefore$ The pdf of $T$ is $-\frac{d}{d t} P\{T>t\}=\lambda e^{-\lambda t}$. (Exponential Distribution)

### 4.3 Properties of Exponential Distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t} \quad t>0 \\
& E(T)=1 / \lambda=m, \quad V(t)=1 / \lambda^{2}=m^{2} \\
& q^{*}(s)=\lambda / \lambda+s
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $r<t$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\{T>r+t \mid T>r\}=\text { Conditional distribution } \\
& \quad=\frac{Q(r+t)}{Q(r)}=\frac{e^{-\lambda(r+t)}}{e^{-\lambda r}}=e^{-\lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $P\{T>r+t \mid T>r\}=P\{T>t\}$ for all $r$ and $t$.

Also $P\{T>r+t\}=e^{-\lambda(r+t)}=Q(r) Q(t)=Q(r+t)$
Exponential distribution is only function satisfying $Q(r+t)=Q(r) Q(t)$

## Proof:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)=Q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \text { and in general } Q\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)=Q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{m} \\
Q(1)=Q\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n}\right)=\left[Q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^{n}, \quad m=n \\
\therefore Q\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)=\left[Q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\right]^{m / n}=Q(1)^{m / n}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $Q(\cdot)$ is continuous or left or right continuous we can write

$$
Q(t)=Q(1)^{t}
$$

Since $Q(1)^{t}=e^{t \log Q(1)}$ we have $\log Q(1)$ is the negative of the rate parameter. Hence

$$
Q(t)=e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text { where } \lambda=-\log Q(1)
$$

## a. Normalized Spacings

Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\} i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be iid following an exponential distribution with $E\left(T_{i}\right)=1 / \lambda$.
Define $\quad T_{(1)} \leq T_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq T_{(n)} \quad$ Order statistics

Then the joint distribution of the order statistics is

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(t_{(1)}, t_{(2)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right) d t_{(1)}, t_{(2)}, \ldots t_{(n)}=P\left\{t_{(1)}<T_{(1)}, \leq t_{(1)}+d t_{(1)}, \ldots\right\} \\
=\frac{n!}{1!1!\ldots 1!} \\
=n!\lambda e^{-\lambda t_{(1)}} \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda t_{(2)}} \ldots \lambda e^{-\lambda t_{(n)}} d t_{(1)} \ldots d t_{(n)} \\
f\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)=n!\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda \sum_{1}^{n} t_{(i)}}=n!\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda S}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S=\sum_{1}^{n} t_{(i)}=\sum_{1}^{n} t_{i}, \quad 0 \leq t_{(1)} \leq \ldots \leq t_{(n)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right) & =n!\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda S}, 0 \leq t_{(1)} \leq \ldots \leq t_{(n)} \\
S & =\sum_{1}^{n} t_{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{1}=n T_{(1)}, \quad Z_{2}=(n-1)\left(T_{(2)}-T_{(1)}\right), \cdots \\
Z_{(i)}=(n-i+1)\left(T_{(i)}-T_{(i-1)}\right), \cdots, Z_{(n)}=T_{(n)}-T_{(n-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

We shall show that $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}$ are iid exponential.

$$
f\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)\left|\frac{\partial\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, \partial t_{(n)}\right)}{\partial\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)}\right|
$$

where $\left|\frac{\partial\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)}{\partial\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)}\right|$ is the determinant of the Jacobian.

We shall find the Jacobian by making use of the relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{\partial\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, \partial t_{(n)}\right)}{\partial\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)}\right|=\left|\frac{\partial\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots Z_{n}\right)}{\left.\partial t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)}\right|^{-1} \\
Z_{i}=(n-i+1)\left(T_{(i)}-T_{(i-1)}\right), \quad T_{(0)}=0 \\
\frac{\partial Z_{i}}{\partial T_{(j)}}= \begin{cases}n-i+1 & j=i \\
-(n-i+1) & j=i-1 \\
0 & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right.}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-(n-1) & (n-1) & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -(n-2) & (n-2) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note: The determinant of a trangular matrix is the product of the main diagonal terms

$$
\therefore\left|\frac{\partial\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)}\right|=n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots 2 \cdot 1=n!
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=n!\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda S} \frac{1}{n!}=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda \sum_{1}^{n} z_{i}}=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda S} \\
& \text { as } S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{(i)}=z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The spacings $Z_{i}=(n-i+1)\left(T_{(i)}-T_{(i-1)}\right)$ are sometimes called normalized spacings.

Homework:

1. Suppose there are $n$ observations which are iid
exponential $\left(T_{i}=1 / \lambda\right)$. However there are $r$ non-censored observations and $(n-r)$ censored observations all censored at $t_{(r)}$. Show $Z_{i}=(n-i+1)\left(T_{(i)}-T_{(i-1)}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ are iid exponential.
2. Show that

$$
T_{(i)}=\frac{Z_{1}}{n}+\frac{Z_{2}}{n-1}+\ldots+\frac{Z_{i}}{n-i+1}
$$

and prove

$$
E\left(T_{(i)}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{n-j+1}
$$

Find variances and covariances of $\left\{T_{(i)}\right\}$.
b. Campbell's Theorem

Let $\{N(t), t>0\}$ be a Poisson Process. Assume $n$ events occur in the interval $(0, t]$. Note that $N(t)=n$ is the realization of a random variable and has probability $P\{N(t)=n\}=e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!}$
Define $W_{n}=$ Waiting time for $n^{t h}$ event.
If $\left\{T_{i}\right\} i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are the random variables representing the time between events

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\Pi_{1}^{n} \lambda e^{-\lambda t_{i}}=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda \sum_{1}^{n} t_{i}}
$$

But $\sum_{1}^{n} t_{i}=W_{n}$, hence

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda W_{n}}
$$

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda W_{n}}
$$

Now consider the transformation

$$
W_{1}=t_{1}, \quad W_{2}=t_{1}+t_{2}, \ldots, \quad W_{n}=t_{1}+t_{2}+\ldots+t_{n}
$$

The distribution of $\underline{\mathbf{W}}=\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}\right)$ is

$$
f(\underline{\mathbf{W}})=f(\underline{\mathbf{t}})\left|\frac{\partial(\underline{\mathbf{t}})}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{W}}}\right|
$$

where $\left|\frac{\partial(\underline{\mathbf{t}})}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{W}}}\right|$ is the determinant of the Jacobian.

Note: $\frac{\partial(\underline{\mathbf{W}})}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{t}}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right)$
and $\left|\frac{\partial(\underline{\mathbf{t}})}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{W}}}\right|=\left|\frac{\partial(\underline{\mathbf{W}})}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{t}}}\right|^{-1}=1$
$\therefore \quad f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda w_{n}} \quad 0<w_{1} \leq \ldots \leq w_{n}<t$.
But there are no events in the interval ( $\left.w_{n}, t\right]$. This carries probability $e^{-\lambda\left(t-w_{n}\right)}$. Hence the joint distribution of the $\underline{\mathbf{W}}$ is

$$
f(\underline{\mathbf{W}})=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda w_{n}} \cdot e^{-\lambda\left(t-w_{n}\right)}=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda t}
$$

$$
f(\underline{\mathbf{W}})=\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda t} \quad 0 \leq w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq \ldots \leq w_{n}<t
$$

Consider

$$
f(\underline{\mathbf{W}} \mid N(t)=n)=\frac{\lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n} / n!}=n!/ t^{n}
$$

This is the joint distribution of the order statistics from a uniform
$(0, t)$ distribution; i.e., $f(x)=\frac{1}{t} \quad 0<x \leq t$.
Hence $E\left(W_{i} \mid N(t)=n\right)=\frac{i t}{n+1} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$
We can consider the unordered waiting times, conditional on $N(t)=n$, as following a uniform $(0, t)$ distribution.

Since $w_{1}=t_{1}, w_{2}=t_{1}+t_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}=t_{1}+t_{2}+\ldots+t_{n}$

$$
t_{i}=w_{i}-w_{i-1} \quad\left(w_{0}=0\right)
$$

The difference between the waiting times are the original times $t_{i}$. These times follow the distribution conditional on $N(t)=n$; i.e.

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \mid N(t)=n\right)=n!/ t^{n}
$$

Note that if $f\left(t_{i}\right)=1 / t \quad 0<t_{i}<t$, the joint distribution for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ of $n$ independent uniform $(0, t)$ random variables is $f(\underline{\mathbf{t}})=1 / t^{n}$. If $0<t_{(1)} \leq t_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq t_{(n)}<t$ the distribution of the order statistics is

$$
f\left(t_{(1)}, \ldots, t_{(n)}\right)=n!/ t^{n}
$$

which is the same as $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \mid N(t)\right)$.

## c. Minimum of Several Exponential Random Variables

Let $T_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be ind. exponential r.v. with parameter $\lambda_{i}$ and let $T=\min \left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$
$\rightarrow P\{T>t\}=P\left\{T_{1}>t, T_{2}>t, \ldots, T_{n}>t\right\}=\pi_{i=1}^{n} P\left\{T_{i}>t\right\}$

$$
=\pi_{i=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda_{i} t}=e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \lambda=\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i}
$$

$\rightarrow T$ is exponential with parameter $\lambda$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\{T>t\}=e^{-\lambda t} \quad \lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \\
T=\min \left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

If all $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{0}, \lambda=n \lambda_{0}, \quad P\{T>t\}=e^{-n \lambda_{0} t}$

Define $N$ as the index of the random variable which is the smallest failure time.

For example if $T_{r} \leq T_{i}$ for all $i$, then $N=r$.
Consider $P\left\{T>t, T_{r} \leq T_{i}\right.$ all $\left.i\right\}=P\{N=r, T>t\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\{N=r, T>t\} & =P\left\{T>t, T_{i} \geq T_{r}, i \neq r\right\} \\
& =\int_{t}^{\infty} P\left\{T>t_{r}, i \neq r \mid t_{r}\right\} f\left(t_{r}\right) d t_{r} \\
& =\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\lambda-\lambda_{r}\right) t_{r}} \lambda_{r} e^{-\lambda_{r} t_{r}} d t_{r} \\
& =\lambda_{r} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_{r}} d t_{r} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{r}}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P\{N=r, T>t\}=\frac{\lambda_{r}}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad P\{N=r, T>0\}=P\{N=r\}=\frac{\lambda_{r}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \\
& \rightarrow P\{N=r, T>t\}=P\{N=r\} P\{T>t\} \\
& \rightarrow N \text { (index of smallest) and } T \text { are independent } \\
& \text { If } \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{0} \\
& \qquad P\{N=r\}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{n \lambda_{0}}=\frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(All populations have the same prob. of being the smallest.)
D. Relation to Erlang and Gamma Distribution

Consider $T=T_{1}+\ldots+T_{n}$
Since $q_{i}^{*}(s)=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+s}, q_{T}^{*}(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+s}$
which is L.T. of Erlang distribution. If $\lambda_{i}$ are all distinct

$$
\begin{gathered}
\square q(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} e^{-\lambda_{i} t} \\
\text { If } \lambda_{i}=\lambda, \quad A_{i}=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}} \\
q(s)=\frac{\lambda(\lambda t)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(n)} \text { Gamma Distribution }
\end{gathered}
$$

## E. Guarantee Time

Consider the r.v. following the distribution having pdf

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t) & =\lambda e^{-\lambda(t-G)} & & \text { for } t>G \\
& =0 & & \text { for } t \leq G
\end{aligned}
$$

The parameter $G$ is called a guarantee time
If the transformation $Y=T-G$ is made then $f(y)=\lambda e^{-\lambda y}$ for $y>0$.
$\therefore E(Y)=1 / \lambda, \quad V(Y)=1 / \lambda^{2}, \ldots$
Since $T=Y+G, E(T)=\frac{1}{\lambda}+G$
and central moments if $T$ and $Y$ are the same.

## F. Random Sums of Exponential Random Variables

Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\} i=1,2, \ldots, N$ be iid with $f(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t}$ and consider

$$
S_{N}=T_{1}+T_{2}+\ldots+T_{N}
$$

with $P\{N=n\}=p_{n}$.
The Laplace Transform of $S_{N}$ is $(\lambda / \lambda+s)^{n}$ for fixed $N=n$. Hence $f^{*}(s)=E\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{N}$ resulting in a pdf which is a mixture of gamma distributions.

$$
f(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(\lambda t)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(n)} p_{n}
$$

Suppose $p_{n}=p^{n-1} q \quad n=1,2, \ldots$ (negative exponential distribution)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{*}(s)= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{n} p^{n-1} q=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q}{p}\left(\frac{p \lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{n}=\frac{q}{p}\left[\frac{p \lambda / \lambda+s}{1-\frac{p \lambda}{\lambda+s}}\right] \\
&=\frac{q}{p} \cdot \frac{p \lambda}{s+\lambda(1-p)}=\frac{q \lambda}{s+q \lambda} \\
& f^{*}(s)=\frac{q \lambda}{s+q \lambda} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad S_{N}=T_{1}+T_{2}+\ldots+T_{N}, \quad P\{N=n\}=p^{n-1} q
\end{aligned}
$$

has exponential distribution with parameter $(\lambda q)$.

### 4.4 Counting Processes and the Poisson Distribution

Definition: A stochastic process $\{N(t), T>0\}$ is said to be a counting process where $N(t)$ denotes the number of events that have occurred in the interval $(0, t]$. It has the properties.
(i.) $\quad N(t)$ is integer value
(ii.) $N(t) \geq 0$
(iii.) If $s<t, \quad N(s) \leq N(t)$ and $N(t)-N(s)=$ number of events occurring is $(s, t]$.

A counting process has independent increments if the events in disjoint intervals are independent; i.e. $N(s)$ are $N(t)-N(s)$ are independent events.

A counting process has stationary increments if the probability of the number of events in any interval depends only on the length of the interval; i.e.

$$
N(t) \text { and } N(s+t)-N(s)
$$

have the same probability distribution for all $s$. A Poisson process is a counting process having independent and stationary
increments.

TH. Assume $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson Process. Then the dsitribution of $N_{s}(t)=N(s+t)-N(s)$ is independent of $s$ and only depends on the length of the interval, i.e.

$$
P\{N(t+s)-N(s) \mid N(s)\}=P\{N(t+s)-N(s)\}
$$

for all $s$. This implies that knowledge of $N(u)$ for $0<u \leq s$ is also irrelevant.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\{N(t+s)-N(s) \mid N(u), 0<u \leq s\} \\
=P\{N(t+s)-N(s)\}
\end{gathered}
$$

This feature defines a stationary process.

TH. A Poisson Process has independent increments.
Consider $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<t_{4}$


Consider events in $\left(t_{3}, t_{4}\right]$; i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
N\left(t_{4}\right)-N\left(t_{3}\right) \\
P\left\{N\left(t_{4}\right)-N\left(t_{3}\right) \mid N(u), \quad o<u \leq t_{3}\right\} \\
=P\left\{N\left(t_{4}\right)-N\left(t_{3}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Distribution is independent of what happened prior to $t_{3}$. Hence if the intervals $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and $\left(t_{2}, t_{4}\right)$ are non-overlapping $N\left(t_{2}\right)-N\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $N\left(t_{4}\right)-N\left(t_{3}\right)$ are independent.

## TH. $\operatorname{Cov}(N(t), N(s+t))=\lambda t$ (Poisson Process)

Proof $N(s+t)-N(t)$ is independent of $N(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Cov}(N(s+t)-N(t), N(t))=0 \\
& =\operatorname{Cov}(N(s+t), N(t))-V(N(t))=0 \\
& \therefore \operatorname{Cov}(N(s+t), N(t))=V(N(t))=\lambda t
\end{aligned}
$$

as variance of $N(t)$ is $\lambda t$.
An alternative statement of theorem is

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(N(s), N(t))=\lambda \min (s, t)
$$

TH. A counting process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson Process if and only if
(i) It has stationary and independent increments
(ii) $\mathrm{N}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\{N(h)=0\} & =1-\lambda h+0(h) \\
P\{N(h)=1\} & =\lambda h+0(h) \\
P\{N(h)=j\} & =0(h), \quad j>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes: The notation $0(h)$ "little o of h' refers to some function $\varphi(h)$ for which

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h)}{h}=0
$$

Divide interval $(0, t]$ into $\underline{n}$ sub-intervals of length $h$; i.e. $n h=t$

$$
P\{N(k h)-N(k-1) h)\}=P\{N(h)\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\text { Time to event beginning at } t=0 \\
P\{T>t\} & =P\{N(t)=0\}=P\{\text { No events in each sub-interval }\} \\
P\{N(t)=0\} & =P\{T>t\}=[1-\lambda h+o(h)]^{n} \\
& =(1-\lambda h)^{n}+n(1-\lambda h)^{n-1} o(h)+o\left(h^{2}\right) \\
& =(1-\lambda h)^{n}\left\{1+\frac{n o(h)}{1-\lambda h}+\ldots\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n}\left\{1+\frac{t}{1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}} \frac{o(h)}{h}+\ldots\right\} \\
& \rightarrow e^{-\lambda t} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, h \rightarrow 0 \\
\Rightarrow & P\{T>t\}=e^{-\lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T$ is exponential; i.e. Time between events is exponential.

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\{N(t), t \geq 0\} \text { is Poisson Process }
$$

### 4.5 Superposition of Counting Processes

Suppose there are $k$ counting processes which merge into a single counting process; e.g. $k=3$.

Process 1:


Process 2:


Process 3:


Merged Process:


The merged process is called the superposition of the individual counting processes

$$
N(t)=N_{1}(t)+N_{2}(t)+\ldots+N_{k}(t)
$$

## A. Superposition of Poisson Processes

$$
N(t)=N_{1}(t)+\ldots+N_{k}(t)
$$

Suppose $\left\{N_{i}(t), t \geq 0\right\} i=1,2, \ldots, k$ are Poisson Processes with $E\left[N_{i}(t)\right]=\lambda_{i} t$.

Note that each of the counting processes has stationary and independent increments.

Also $N(t)$ is Poisson with parameter

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(N(t))=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\lambda_{i} t\right)=t \lambda, \quad \lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \\
\Rightarrow \quad N(t) \text { is a Poisson Process }
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ has stationary and independent increments.

## B. General Case of Merged Process

Consider the merged process from $k$ individual processes


The random variable $V_{k}$ is the forward recurrence time of the merged process. We will show that as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic distribution of $V_{k}$ is exponential and hence the merged process is asymptotically a Poisson Process.

Assume that for each of the processes

- Stationary
- Multiple occurences have 0 probability
- pdf between events of each process is $q(t)$.

If $q(t)$ is pdf of time between events for a single process, then each has the same forward recurrence time distribution with pdf

$$
q_{f}(x)=Q(x) / m
$$

With $k$ independent processes there will be
$T_{f}(1), T_{f}(2), \ldots, T_{f}(k)$ forward recurrence time random variables
Process 1:


Process 2:


Process $k$ :


Merged Process:


$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{k}=\min \left(T_{f}(1), T_{f}(2), \ldots, T_{f}(k)\right) \\
P\left\{V_{k}>v\right\}=G_{k}(v)=P\left\{T_{f}(1)>v, T_{f}(2)>v, \ldots, T_{f}(k)>v\right\} \\
=Q_{f}(v)^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k}(v)=P\left\{V_{k}>v\right\} & =Q_{f}(v)^{k} \text { where } \\
Q_{f}(v) & =\int_{v}^{\infty} q_{f}(x) d x, \quad q_{f}(x)=Q(x) / m
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g_{k}(x)=$ pdf of merged process

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{k}(v)= \int_{v}^{\infty} g_{k}(x) d x=Q_{f}(v)^{k} \\
&-\frac{d}{d v} G_{k}(v)=g_{k}(v)=k Q_{f}(v)^{k-1} q_{f}(v) \\
& g_{k}(v)=k Q_{f}(v)^{k-1} \frac{Q(v)}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider transformation $z=\frac{V_{k}}{m / k}=\frac{k V_{k}}{m}, \quad \frac{d z}{d v}=\frac{k}{m}$

$$
g_{k}(z)=g_{k}(v)\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial z}\right|=\frac{k}{m} Q_{f}\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right)^{k-1} Q\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right) \frac{m}{k}
$$

$$
g_{k}(z)=Q\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right)\left[1-\int_{o}^{\frac{m z}{k}} \frac{Q(x)}{m} d x\right]^{k-1}
$$

as $Q_{f}\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right)=\int_{\frac{m z}{k}}^{\infty} \frac{Q(x)}{m} d x=1-\int_{0}^{\frac{m z}{k}} \frac{Q(x)}{m} d x$
For fixed $z$,
as $k \rightarrow \infty, \frac{z m}{k} \rightarrow 0$ and $Q\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right) \rightarrow 1$

Also

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{m z}{k}} \frac{Q(x)}{m} d x \rightarrow \frac{Q\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right)}{m} \cdot \frac{m z}{k}=Q\left(\frac{m z}{k}\right) \frac{z}{k} \rightarrow \frac{z}{k}
$$

$\therefore$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$
g_{k}(z) \rightarrow\left(1-\frac{z}{k}\right)^{k-1} \rightarrow e^{-z}
$$

Thus as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the forward recurrence time (multiplied by $\left.\frac{m}{k}\right) z=\frac{m}{k} V_{k}$ is distributed as a unit exponential distribution. Hence for large $k, V_{k}=\frac{k}{m} z$ has an asymptotic exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda=k / m$. Since the asymptotic forward recurrence time is exponential, the time between events (of the merged process), is asymptotically exponential.

Note: A forward recurrence time is exponential if and only if the time between events is exponential; ie.

$$
q_{f}(x)=\frac{Q(x)}{m}=\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text { if } Q(x)=e^{-\lambda x}
$$

and if $q_{f}(x)=\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \Rightarrow Q(x)=e^{-\lambda x}$
Additional Note: The merged process is $N(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i}(t)$. Suppose $E\left(N_{i}(t)\right)=\nu t$. Units of $\nu$ are "no. of events per unit time"

The units of $m$ are "time per event"
Thus $E(N(t))=(k \nu) t$ and $(k \nu)$ is mean events per unit time. The units of $\left(\frac{1}{k \nu}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)$ is "mean time per event". Hence $m=1 / \nu$ for an individual process and the mean of the merged process is $1 / k \nu$.
Ex. $\nu=6$ events per year $\Rightarrow m=\frac{12}{6}=2$ months (mean time between events).

## 5. Splitting of Poisson Processes

Example: Times between births (in a family) follow an exponential distribution. The births are categorized by gender.

Example: Times between back pain follow an exponential distribution. However the degree of pain may be categorized as the required medication depends on the degree of pain.

Consider a Poisson Process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ where in addition to observing an event, the event can be classified as belonging to one of $r$ possible categories.

Define $N_{i}(t)=$ no. of events of type i during $(0, t]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad N(t)=N_{1}(t)+N_{2}(t)+\ldots+N_{r}(t)
$$

This process is referred to as "splitting" the process.
Bernoulli Splitting Mechanism
Suppose an event takes place in the interval $(t, t+d t]$. Define the indicator random variable $Z(t)=i(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$ such that

$$
P\{Z(T)=i \mid \text { event at }(t, t+d t]\}=p_{i} .
$$

Note $p_{i}$ is independent of time.
Then if $N(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{i}(t)$ the counting processes $\left\{N_{i}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ are
Poisson process with parameter $\left(\lambda p_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$.

Proof: Suppose over time $(0, t], n$ events are observed of which $s_{i}$ are classified as of time $i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{r} s_{i}=n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left\{N_{1}(t)=s_{1}, N_{2}(t)=s_{2}, \ldots, N_{r}(t)\right. & \left.=s_{r} \mid N(t)=n\right\} \\
& =\frac{n!}{s_{1}!s_{2}!\ldots s_{r}!} p_{1}^{s_{1}} p_{2}^{s_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{s_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{N_{i}(t)=s_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r \text { and } N(t)=n\right\} \\
& =\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} s_{i}!} p_{1}^{s_{1}} p_{2}^{s_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{s_{r}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\left(p_{i} \lambda t\right)^{s_{i}} e^{-p_{i} \lambda t}}{s_{i}!}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} P\left\{N_{i}(t)=s_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the $\left\{N_{i}(t)\right\}$ are independent and follow Poisson distributions with parameters $\left\{\lambda p_{i}\right\}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad\left\{N_{i}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ are Poisson Processes.

## Example of Nonhomogenous Splitting

Suppose a person is subject to serious migraine headaches. Some of these are so serious that medical attention is required. Define

$$
N(t)=\text { no. of migraine headaches in }(0, t]
$$

$N_{m}(t)=$ no. of migraine headaches requiring medical attention
$p(\tau)=$ prob. requiring medical attention if
headache occurs at $(\tau, \tau+d \tau)$.
Suppose an event occurs at $(\tau, \tau+d \tau)$; then Prob.of requiring attention $=p(\tau) d \tau$.

Note that conditional on a single event taking place in $(0, t], \tau$ is uniform over ( $0, t]$; i.e.

$$
f(\tau \mid N(t)=1)=1 / t \quad 0<\tau \leq t \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) d \tau
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) d \tau \\
\text { Time to event } \\
\therefore P\left\{N_{m}(t)=k \mid N(t)=n\right\}=\binom{n}{k} \alpha^{k}(1-\alpha)^{(n-k)} \\
\\
P\left\{N_{m}(t)=k, N(t)=n\right\}=\binom{n}{k} \alpha^{k}(1-\alpha)^{n-k} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left\{N_{m}(t)=k\right\} & =\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k} \alpha^{k}(1-\alpha)^{n-k} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{(n-k)!}(1-\alpha)^{n-k} \\
& =\frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-k}(1-\alpha)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \\
& =\frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!}(\lambda t)^{k} e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\lambda t(1-\alpha)} \\
& P\left\{N_{m}(t)=k\right\}=e^{-\alpha \lambda t} \frac{(\alpha \lambda t)^{k}}{k!}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.7 Non-homogeneous Poisson Processes

## Preliminaries

Let $N(t)$ follow a Poisson distribution; i.e.

$$
P\{N(t)=k\}=e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{k} / k!
$$

Holding $t$ fixed, the generating function of the distribution is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{N(t)}(s)=E\left[e^{-s N(t)}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} e^{-s k} \\
=e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{s} \lambda t\right)^{k}}{k!}=e^{-\lambda t} e^{e^{-s} \lambda t} \\
\phi_{N(t)}(s)=e^{\lambda t\left[e^{-s}-1\right]}=e^{\lambda t(z-1)} \text { if } z=e^{-s}
\end{gathered}
$$

The mean is $E[N(t)]=\lambda t$

Consider the Counting Process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ having the Laplace Transform

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left({ }^{*}\right) & \phi_{N(t)}(s)=e^{\Lambda(t)\left[e^{-s}-1\right]}=e^{\Lambda(t)[z-1]}  \tag{*}\\
\Rightarrow & E[N(t)]=\Lambda(t), \quad P\{N(t)=k\}=e^{-\Lambda(t)}[\Lambda(t)]^{k} / k!
\end{array}
$$

For the Poisson Process $\Lambda(t)=\lambda t$ and the mean is proportional to $t$. However when $E[N(t)] \neq \lambda t$ we call the process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ a non-homogenized Poisson Process and $E(N(t)]=\Lambda(t)$
$\Lambda(t)$ can be assumed to be continuous and differentiable

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda(t)=\Lambda^{\prime}(t)=\lambda(t)
$$

The quantity $\lambda(t)$ is called intensity function. $\Lambda(t)$ can be represented by

$$
\Lambda(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(x) d x
$$

If $N(t)$ has the Transform given by $(*)$ then

$$
P\{N(t)=k\}=e^{-\Lambda(t)} \Lambda(t)^{k} / k!
$$

Since $P\left\{S_{n}>t\right\}=P\{N(t)<n\}$
We have $P\left\{S_{1}>t\right\}=P\{N(t)<1\}=P\{N(t)=0\}$

$$
P\left(S_{1}>t\right)=e^{-\Lambda(t)}
$$

Thus pdf of time between events is

$$
f(t)=\lambda(t) e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(x) d x}, \quad \Lambda(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(x) d x
$$

Note that if $H=\Lambda(t)$, then $H$ is a random variable following a unit exponential distribution.

Assume independent increments; i.e. $N(t+\mu)-N(\mu)$ and $N(\mu)$ are independent
L.T. Transform $\psi(z, t)=e^{\Lambda(t)[z-1]} z=e^{-s}$

Generating function $\quad=E\left[e^{-s N(t)}\right]=E\left[z^{N(t)}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\Lambda(t+u)(z-1)}=E\left[z^{N(t+u)}\right] & =E\left[z^{N(t+u)-N(u)+N(u)}\right] \\
& =E\left[z^{N(t+u)-N(u)}\right] \cdot E\left[z^{N(u)}\right] \\
& =\psi e^{\Lambda(u)[z-1]} \\
\therefore \quad \psi=E\left[z^{N(t+u)-N(u)]}\right] & =\frac{e^{\Lambda(t+u)(z-1)}}{e^{\Lambda(u)(z-1)}}=e^{[\Lambda(t+u)-\Lambda(u)][z-1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda(t+u)-\Lambda(u)=\int_{u}^{t+u} \lambda(x) d x$
$\therefore \quad P\{N(t+u)-N(u)=k\}=\frac{e^{-[\Lambda(t+u)-\Lambda(u)]}[\Lambda(t+u)-\Lambda(u)]^{k}}{k!}$

## Axiomatic Derivation of

## Non-Homogenized Poisson Distribution

Assume counting process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$
(i) $N(0)=0$
(ii) $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ has independent increments; i.e. $N(t+s)-N(s)$ and $N(s)$ are independent
(iii) $P\{N(t+h)=k \mid N(t)=k\}=1-\lambda(t) h+0(h)$ $P\{N(t+h)=k+1 \mid N(t)=k\}=\lambda(t)+0(h)$ $P\{N(t+h)=k+j \mid N(t)=k\}=o(h) j \geq 2$
$\Rightarrow \quad p\{N(t+s)-N(s)=k\}=e^{-[\Lambda(t+s)-\Lambda(s)]^{2}} \frac{[\Lambda(t+s)-\Lambda(s)]^{k}}{k!}$

### 4.8 Compound Poisson Process

Example. Consider a single hypodermic needle which is shared. The times between use follow a Poisson Process. However at each use, several people use it. What is the distribution of total use?

Let $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a Poisson process and $\left\{Z_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be iid random variables which are independent of $N(t)$. Define

$$
Z(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} Z_{n}
$$

The process $Z(t)$ is called a Compound Poisson Process. It will be assumed that $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ takes on integer values.

Define $A^{*}(s)=E\left[e^{-s z_{n}}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(s \mid N(t)=r)=E\left[e^{-s Z(t)}\right]=A^{*}(s)^{r} \\
& \phi(s \mid N(t)=r)=A^{*}(s)^{r} \\
& \phi(s)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi(s \mid N(t)=r) P(N(t)=r) \\
&=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A^{*}(s)^{r} \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{r}}{r!}=e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(A^{*}(s) \lambda t\right)^{r}}{r!} \\
& \phi(s)=e^{-\lambda t} e^{A^{*}(s) \lambda t}=e^{-\lambda t\left(1-A^{*}(s)\right)} \\
& A^{*}(s)=E\left(e^{-s z_{N}}\right)=1-s m_{1}+\frac{s^{2}}{2} m_{2}+\ldots \\
&-\lambda t\left(1-A^{*}(s)\right)=-\lambda t\left[s m_{1}-\frac{s^{2}}{2} m_{2}+\ldots\right], m_{i}=E\left(z_{n}^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Cumulant function $=K(s)=\log \phi(s)$

$$
K(s)=-s m+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \sigma^{2}+\ldots
$$

where $\left(m, \sigma^{2}\right)$ refer to $Z(t)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(s)=-\lambda t\left[s m_{1}-\frac{s^{2}}{2} m_{2}+\ldots\right] \\
& E[Z(t)]=\lambda t m_{1} \\
& V[Z(t)]=\lambda t m_{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& m_{i}=E\left(z_{n}^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

