



Using Impact Evaluation for Improving Development Policies and Programs: Examples from IFPRI

Jose Luis Figueroa, IFPRI Sikandra Kurdi, IFPRI February 19, 2018 | ALEXANDRIA, EGYPT

Why Impact Evaluation?





External Factors Influence Outcomes





External Factors Influence Outcomes





Goal of Impact Evaluation



Differences in Participant vs. Non-Participant Baseline

Differences in Participant vs. Non-Participant Ability to Benefit (Self-Selection)

> Time Trend Between Baseline and Follow-Up



To Isolate the Causal Relationship from External Factors Influencing Outcomes

Methods of Impact Evaluation



- Randomized Control Trial
- Regression Discontinuity
- Propensity Score Matching
- Other Methods
 - Differences in Differences
 - Instrumental Variables

Randomized Control Trial



Randomly assign units (households or communities) to treatment or control

group





When to use Randomized Control Trial



- Advantages:
 - easy to design and explain (donors, policy makers/stakeholders, general public)
- Disadvantages:
 - not always possible or ethical to randomize who receives the program
 - difficult to coordinate with implementers to make sure that the randomization is respected

Methods of Impact Evaluation



- Randomized Control Trial
- Regression Discontinuity
- Propensity Score Matching
- Other Methods
 - Differences in Differences
 - Instrumental Variables

















When to Use Regression Discontinuity



- Advantages:
 - does not require randomization
- Disadvantages:
 - requires a sharp cutoff in some continuous variable between treated and non-treated
 - only estimates Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE), the effect of treatment for units near the threshold

Regression Discontinuity Example: Takaful Cash Transfer Program



- Egypt began cash transfers in March 2015
 - 1.9 mn beneficiary households
 - Targeted to poor registrant households with children using a cutoff score on a Proxy Means Test (PMT)
- Goal to create a better targeted social safety net to help cushion poor against economic downturn and decreases in universal subsidies
- Monthly transfers using a smart card
 - EGP 350 + 60 to 140 per child (depending on age), for up to 3 children
 - avg transfer is EGP 667 (1 USD = 18 EGP)





Regression Discontinuity Example: Takaful Cash Transfer Program





Methods of Impact Evaluation



- Randomized Control Trial
- Regression Discontinuity
- Propensity Score Matching (various methods, here we describe inverse probability weighting)
- Other Methods
 - Differences in Differences
 - Instrumental Variables



Propensity Score Matching

Survey a large group of participan

and a large group of non-particip

Find out as much as possible about their characteristics

Propensity Score Matching



Identify observable characteristics that differ between participants and non-participants and combine these into a single score expressing how likely they are to participate



Propensity Score Matching





Using the propensity score, reweight observations so that the samples become comparable

When to Use Propensity Score Matching



- Advantages:
 - does not require randomization
 - does not require threshold based assignment
- Disadvantages:
 - can only control for observable differences between participants and non-participants, and often unobservable factors differ

Propensity Score Matching Example: USAID's funded FAS project



Feed the Future Egypt, Food-Security and Agribusiness Support Project (**FAS**)

<u>Goal</u>

- Increase the incomes of 14,000 Upper Egypt smallholder farmers (<10 feddans)
- Enhance food security of farm households
- Improve the nutritional status of women and young children

<u>Components</u>

- 1.Improved on-farm production
- 2.Improved marketing of agriculture crops and products

Propensity Score Matching Example: USAID's funded FAS project



- Non-random allocation of households to treatment and comparison group
- No clear cut-off or threshold between participants and non-participants
- Only criteria for being eligible: owning 10 feddans or less, having experience in cultivating horticultural crops (or interest), be part of a participant association/cooperative.
- <u>Challenge:</u> Finding households that are similar to the households receiving the treatment on all relevant characteristics, except for receiving the treatment

Propensity Score Matching Example: USAID's funded FAS project



- Steps to find comparable households:
 - 1. Collect information on a sufficiently large sample of participants (T=1) and non-participants (T=0) on *relevant* demographic, socioeconomic and locality characteristics (X_i)
 - 2. Estimate for each household the probability of participation based on these characteristics
 - 3. Match each houshold in the treatment sample with a wetighted average of housheolds from the control sample

4. The effect of the program is then:
Average effect = (average outcome for participants)
- weighted average outcome for non - participants

In Summary:



- Randomization is the gold standard among impact evaluation approaches, but often difficult to implement
- Evaluators need to carefully think how the program is implemented and targeted to use the best method possible
- Impact evaluations need constant communication with implementers and stakeholders
- If possible, evaluation designs should be part of the program design
- If evaluators get involved when programs already operate, alternative methodologies like RDD or PSM can be used.





Thank you